

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/691,889	10/20/2000	Yair Feld	00/20989	7655
7:	590 03/28/2002			
G. E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD. c/o ANTHONY CASTORINA SUITE 207			EXAMINER	
			BAKER, ANNE MARIE	
2001 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGWAY ARLINGTON, VA 22202			APTIBUT	PAPER NUMBER
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	
		DATE MAILED: 03/28/2002	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/691,889	FELD ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Anne Baker	1632			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-37 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) on .			
S Patent and Tr	adomark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/691,889

Page 2

Art Unit: 1632

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-37 are pending in the instant application.

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-3 and 5-22, drawn to a nucleic acid construct, a transformed cell, a
 pharmaceutical composition comprising the nucleic acid construct, classified in class
 536, subclass 23.5.
- II. Claims 2-4 and 16, drawn to a tissue explant, classified in class 435, subclass 1.1.
- III. Claims 23-37, drawn to a method of modifying the electrophysiological function of an excitable tissue region of an individual by transplanting cells, either genetically modified cells or unmodified cells, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1.

Claims 2, 3, and 16 embrace the inventions of Groups I and II. Should either of Groups I or 2 be elected, Claim 2, 3, and 16 will be examined only to the extent that they encompass the elected subject matter.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are patentably distinct because the inventions are drawn to materially different compositions. The compositions of the invention of Group I (nucleic acids, cells, and pharmaceutical

Application/Control Number: 09/691,889 Page 3

Art Unit: 1632

compositions) are structurally, chemically, biologically, and functionally distinct from the tissue explant of the invention of Group II. Thus, the compositions of the invention of Group I are patentably distinct from the compositions of the invention of Group II.

Inventions I and III are patentably distinct because the inventions are drawn to distinct compositions and methods. Although the cells of the invention of Group I can be used to practice the method of the invention of Group III, the cells are not limited to this use, as they can also be used for *in vitro* assays. Furthermore, the method of the invention of Group III does not require the cells of the invention of Group I, as unmodified cells can also be used to practice the method of the invention of Group III. Additionally, the pharmaceutical composition of the invention of Group I is not required for practice of the method of the invention of Group III, as the method of the invention of Group III can be practiced using genetically modified cells or unmodified cells. Thus, the compositions of the invention of Group I are patentably distinct from the methods of the invention of Group III.

Inventions II and III are patentably distinct because the inventions are drawn to distinct compositions and methods that are not claimed as being used together. Although the tissue explant of the invention of Group II could be used to practice the method of the invention of Group III, the tissue is not limited to this use, as it can also be used for *in vitro* assays. Furthermore, the tissue explant of the invention of Group II is not required for practice of the method of the invention of Group III, as the method of the invention of Group III can be practiced using genetically modified cells or unmodified cells. Thus, the composition of the invention of Group II is patentably distinct from the method of the invention of Group III.

Application/Control Number: 09/691,889

Page 4

Art Unit: 1632

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter and because the searches required for the separate inventions are not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne-Marie Baker whose telephone number is (703) 306-9155. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday and alternate Fridays from 10:00 AM to 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Reynolds, can be reached on (703) 305-4051. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-8724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the patent analyst, Dianiece Jacobs, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3388.

Anne-Marie Baker, Ph.D.

anne-Marie Baken
ANNE-MARIE BAKER
PATENT EXAMINER